

United States Patent and Trademark Office

em

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,826	12/30/2005	Kousuke Akiyama	2005_2076A	3778
513 WENDEROTH	7590 01/25/2008		EXAM	INER _.
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W.			AHMED, SHEEBA	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-,		1794	
				DECHIENVA AODE
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

···		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/562,826	AKIYAMA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Sheeba Ahmed	1794			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period fo	• •					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Oc	<u>ctober 2007</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) 🔲	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 11-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 11-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accent applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)∣	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)	_				
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P				

10/562,826 Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Amendments to claims 11, 18, and 20 have been entered. Claims 1-10 are cancelled. Claims 11-20 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 11-13, 16, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Billmers et al. (US 2002/0015854.)

Billmers et al. disclose a paper coating composition providing good barrier properties comprising a blend of hydrophobically modified high amylose starch and polyvinyl alcohol. The starch is modified with a hydrocarbon group of 6 to 18 carbon atoms. The starch is hydrophobically modified with hydrocarbon groups of at least 6 carbon atoms, more particularly 6 to 18 and preferably 8 to 12 carbon atoms. This hydrophobically modified starch can be prepared by reacting starch and an organic anhydride reagent. All types of fillers, pigments, dyes and rheology modifiers may be added in the usual manner to the paper product which is to be coated or sized. Such

materials include clay, talc, titanium dioxide, calcium carbonate, calcium sulfate and diatomaceous earths. Usually an effective additive amount of up to about 25% by weight can be used. The starches can be employed in amounts to provide a coating or size concentration ranging from about 0.25 to 15.0% by weight, dry basis, and preferably from about 0.5 to 5% by weight based on the weight of the finished dry paper. Within this range, the precise amount which is used will depend for the most part upon the type of pulp which is being utilized, the specific operating conditions, as well as the particular end use for which paper is desired. The use of the present starches as coatings and surface sizing agents results in paper characterized by improved water resistance, reduced porosity and increased oil resistance. Paragraph 16 states that the modified high amylose starch may be further modified or derivatized to contain other groups in addition to the hydrocarbon chain as long as such groups do not interfere with the barrier or film forming properties provided by the hydrocarbon substituent and the starch itself. Such starches include crosslinked starches.

Billmers et al. do not teach that the coating containing the hydrophobized starch is present in an amount of 0.5 to 20g/m².

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to optimize the coating weight of the hydrophobized starch coating given that Billmers et al. specifically teach that the starches as coatings and surface sizing agents results in paper characterized by improved water resistance, reduced porosity and increased oil resistance.

10/562,826

Art Unit: 1794

3. Claims 14, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Billmers et al. (US 2002/0015854) in view of Reiners et al. (US 6,090,871).

Billmers et al. do not state that their coating further comprises a fatty acid.

However, Reiners et al. disclose polyamine-epichlorohydrin resins and polyamideamine-epichlorohydrin resins have been employed for a long time for improving the dry
and wet strength of paper. Cationic polycondensates with hydrophobic radicals, for
example based on <u>fatty acid</u>-modified polyamines, are also suitable as sizing agents
for paper. It is furthermore known that the strength of paper in the dry state can be
increased by application of starch (see Background section).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to add a fatty acid to the coating taught by Billmers given that Reiners et al. teach that doing so improves the dry and wet strength of paper.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on October 26, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants traverse the rejections based on Billmers et al. (US 2002/0015854) and submit that Billmers fails to teach a crosslinked starch. However, the Examiner disagrees. Paragraph 16 of Billmers et al. (US 2002/0015854) specifically states that the modified high amylose starch may be further modified or

derivatized to contain other groups in addition to the hydrocarbon chain as long as such groups do not interfere with the barrier or film forming properties provided by the hydrocarbon substituent and the starch itself. Such starches include crosslinked starches.

Hence, the above rejections are maintained.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheeba Ahmed whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number:

10/562,826

Art Unit: 1794

Page 6

(571)272-1504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9am to

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

5pm.

supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on (571)272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sheeba Ahmed

Art Unit 1794

January 21, 2008